We update an evidence-based clinical practice guideline for the administration of propofol for emergency department procedural sedation. Both the unique considerations of using this drug in the pediatric population and the substantial new research warrant revision of the 2007 advisory. We discuss the indications, contraindications, personnel requirements, monitoring, dosing, coadministered medications, and adverse events for propofol sedation. [Ann Emerg Med. 2018; - :1-11.]
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INTRODUCTION
The use of propofol in the emergency department (ED) for procedural sedation was first described in 1996 by Swanson et al. In the intervening 2 decades, substantial peer-reviewed clinical evidence established propofol as both a safe and efficacious option for ED procedural sedation.

Because of recognition of the unique characteristics of propofol as an ultrashort-acting agent, its rapidly increasing popularity despite being a relatively new agent in the ED setting, and widespread practice variation in its use, a clinical practice advisory was published in 2007 to provide evidence-based recommendations for its use.

An updated clinical practice guideline (Figure) is warranted for 3 reasons. First, the initial advisory focused on the use of propofol in the ED across all ages, with limited focus on pediatric patients. Use of propofol in the ED for pediatric patients is newer, and more recent literature suggests that there are unique dosing and oxygenation considerations for children. Second, a significant body of research published since the 2007 advisory has further underscored the safety of ED propofol use, such that the most recent guidelines on procedural sedation and analgesia from the American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) recommend propofol as a safe sedative for both children and adults, with the highest level of evidence. Despite the increasing body of literature and strong endorsement from ACEP, propofol use is significantly lower in pediatric patients than in adults.

Third, the previous clinical practice advisory did not address the increasingly common practice of coadministration of other medications such as ketamine or fentanyl with propofol. In the intervening years, substantial research has explored the safety and efficacy of this practice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
We assembled a clinical practice advisory update committee of emergency physicians with clinical experience and research expertise in the use of propofol, including the authors of the previous version. We limited the panel to emergency physicians because the ED setting is the exclusive focus of this guideline.

To perform this update, we searched PubMed from January 2007 to July 2018 for literature on the use of propofol in children and adults. We primarily focused on the ED setting, but also reviewed relevant anesthesia literature from both inside and outside the operating room setting. We subsequently searched the reference lists of identified articles for additional relevant articles.

EXPLANATION OF CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE CONTENT
Our objective was to provide evidence-based recommendations for the use of propofol in ED deep procedural sedation.

INDICATIONS
Propofol is a short-acting sedative hypnotic that satisfies the requirements for effective ED sedation as outlined by ACEP, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Pediatric Emergency Research Canada, and the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network. The literature supports the safety and efficacy of propofol for a variety of ED procedures requiring deep sedation. The use of propofol for moderate sedation has been described and is associated with fewer adverse
events than deep sedation. Targeting any given sedation endpoint with propofol is frequently associated with overshooting; thus, preparing to manage deeper levels of sedation than intended is mandatory.9-13

CONTRAINDICATIONS
In the previous clinical practice advisory, propofol was contraindicated for patients with allergy to eggs or soy products. Propofol is made with soybean oil and egg lecithin, a fatty substance. Patients who are allergic to soy and eggs are allergic to the proteins, rather than the oils and fats. Although there is a theoretic risk that soybean oil or egg lecithin could contain trace amounts of residual protein, data do not support this concern. Propofol has been safely used in adult patients with positive specific immunoglobulin E response to egg, soy, or peanut who are undergoing anesthesia, with no signs of allergic reactions.14 It has similarly been used safely in children with food allergies, with no reported cases of anaphylaxis and no increased risk of allergic reactions.15-18 Given this increasing body of literature, the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology has stated that it is safe for patients with soy or egg allergies.19 Consequently, the only true contraindication to propofol is a propofol allergy.
HIGHER-RELATIVE-RISK PATIENTS

Age

Children younger than 6 months (particularly those <3 months) or weighing less than 5 kg have a higher risk of sedation-related adverse events with all sedation medications, including propofol. Advanced age also has been shown to predispose patients to both the hypotensive effects of propofol and the incidence of respiratory adverse events, with risk increasing after aged 50 years even at similar peak serum levels, most notably among elderly patients aged 75 years and older.

Underlying Medical Condition

The majority of studies of propofol comes from healthy patients with minor underlying illnesses (American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status class I or II). However, propofol has been used in patients with more significant comorbidities (American Society of Anesthesiologists class III or greater), and these patients have been found to have an increased risk of sedation-related complications.

Propofol-associated hypotension during sedation is observed more commonly in patients with depleted intravascular volume. For patients with a history of dehydration, blood loss, or prolonged fasting state, providers should ideally optimize volume status before sedation or consider an alternative sedation plan in persistently hypotensive or poorly perfused patients.

Fasting State

There is insufficient evidence to support specific fasting requirements before ED procedural sedation. The majority of the literature suggests no association between fasting length and incidence of adverse events—specifically, the risk of emesis or aspiration—in both adult and pediatric patients. We refer providers to the ACEP guidelines on fasting state and procedural sedation, which state that the urgency of the procedure dictates the necessity of providing sedation without delay, regardless of fasting status. For patients who recently ingested a substantial meal and have risk factors for aspiration, such as younger than 12 months, obesity, obstructive sleep apnea, or serious underlying illness, providers should balance the risks and benefits to determine whether delaying procedural sedation is warranted. If delay is not possible, ED providers should target the lightest level of sedation that can be reasonably used without sacrificing patient comfort because sedation depth appears to be inversely related to the potential for adverse events, or they should consider using dissociative sedation with ketamine because protective airway reflexes are preserved (although there is no evidence to support the safety of any particular sedation regimen over another).

PERSONNEL

Sedation providers must be trained and qualified to administer deep sedation and to rescue patients who achieve deeper levels of sedation than planned or experience complications. Ideally, an ED sedation team is composed of 2 individuals: one provider who is dedicated to interactive patient monitoring and another who is performing the procedure for which the patient is being sedated. The literature describes safe administration of ED sedation, including with propofol, by a single physician responsible for both the sedation and the procedure without an increase in adverse events. Furthermore, in non-ED settings, there is substantial published experience with safe propofol administration by a single physician and nurse, including nurse-administered propofol sedation with a physician proceduralist. If the proceduralist lacks the airway management and resuscitative skills necessary to rescue a patient from inadvertent oversedation and resulting airway compromise during deep sedation, the presence of an additional provider dedicated to the sedation is necessary. In procedures performed by an emergency physician that require deep sedation, we recommend adherence to the ACEP and American Academy of Pediatrics guidelines, which stipulate that for deep sedation the physician administering sedation should not be the proceduralist except in emergency situations or in settings in which another physician with appropriate sedation skills is not immediately available. In situations in which a single provider is performing both the sedation and procedure, the physician must be prepared to interrupt the procedure immediately to perform resuscitation if necessary.

PRESEDATION ASSESSMENT

All patients receiving procedural sedation with propofol in the ED require a presedation assessment, including screening for any characteristics that make a patient higher risk for propofol sedation as detailed above. Such assessment may be brief or abbreviated in emergency cases.

PROPOFOL ADMINISTRATION: PHARMACOLOGY

Propofol acts on neuronal lipid membranes to potentiate activity of γ-aminobutyric acid, with near-immediate onset and brief duration. Although individual patient response varies, the onset of action is usually within 30 to 60 seconds, with blood-brain equilibration in 1 to 3 minutes and duration of action of less than 10 minutes. With initial
bolus dosing, peak effect is usually observed at 2 to 4 minutes. Plasma propofol levels decrease rapidly after bolus administration because of rapid redistribution to the periphery and high metabolic clearance. Younger children require an increased loading dose compared with adults, and redistribution occurs more rapidly in these patients because of increased metabolic clearance and larger volumes of distribution. As body tissues become saturated, distribution of serum propofol is delayed. This means the initial propofol bolus will be cleared more quickly than subsequent doses, resulting in more variable and prolonged duration of action after repeated doses. The total duration of sedation will depend on the timing and amount of initial and repeated dosing, with longer duration for procedures requiring higher total dosing.26,45-50

**Adults**

Standard dosing is an initial bolus of 0.5 to 1.0 mg/kg, with additional boluses of 0.25 to 0.5 mg/kg every 1 to 3 minutes as needed to achieve or maintain sedation.24,26,51,52 Because propofol should be dosed on lean body mass, obese patients require lower total body-weight-based dosing.50 Starting at the lower end of the dosing range (0.5 mg/kg) is also advisable in elderly patients, and a common recommendation is to use 100 minus the age as an initial dose.28,53

**Pediatrics**

Children require higher per-kilogram dosing to achieve desired sedation levels because of a larger volume of distribution, with age inversely correlated with required loading dose. Standard initial bolus dosing of 2 mg/kg may be required in patients aged 3 years and younger, whereas doses of 1.5 mg/kg are more appropriate in older children and teenagers.47,54-56 These doses are tolerated without an increase in adverse effects, particularly in children aged 3 years and younger, who resume spontaneous ventilation faster after initial bolus dosing than older children.57 In addition, children show significant interpatient pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic variability, with 3- to 4-fold variation in the plasma concentrations required to achieve appropriate sedation.50 Consequently, sedation providers should be prepared to titrate additional boluses as needed to achieve effective levels of sedation in children; typically, these bolus doses will range from 0.5 to 1 mg/kg.

**Infusion**

There is increasing evidence in favor of use of a pump for delivery of maintenance propofol infusions.21 Intermittent bolus dosing produces peaks that are associated with an increased risk of respiratory and cardiovascular depression, as well as troughs that can be associated with suboptimal sedation. This is particularly true for respiratory depression, which depends on the rate of propofol administration. With bolus dosing, onset of action of propofol is too rapid to allow a counteracting increase in plasma carbon dioxide, resulting in decreased respiratory rate or transient apnea.59 Continuous infusions minimize such variation.60 Maintenance infusions should be titrated between 100 and 150 μg/kg per minute (6 to 9 mg/kg per hour) to maintain adequate sedation with preserved spontaneous ventilation.61 As with bolus dosing, pediatric patients may require higher-infusion doses of up to 250 μg/kg per minute (15 mg/kg per hour).52,63 Such infusions are delivered through a standard infusion pump, with close attention to programming the appropriate patient dosing weight, drug concentration, and desired maintenance infusion dose. For example, to deliver 100 μg/kg per minute to an 80-kg adult, the pump should be programmed to deliver standard 1% propofol (concentration of 10 mg/mL) at 48 mL/h. Infusions are particularly beneficial in longer procedures without significant variation in the degree of painful stimulus, including complex laceration repair and postreduction casting, as well as longer imaging studies.

**PROPOFOL ADMINISTRATION: CLINICAL EFFECT**

Propofol has sedative and amnestic properties, but does not provide analgesia. Although there are low rates (0% to 20%) of patient-reported pain or recall among sedated ED patients, the clinical significance of procedural pain that is experienced but cannot be recalled is unclear.10,11,64,65 Given the lack of analgesic properties, coadministration of propofol with analgesic agents is common. There is an increasing body of literature supporting the safety and efficacy of this practice. Whenever an analgesic is given, the provider should consider timing its administration to cover the painful portion of the procedure while minimizing the risk of respiratory depression.52 The 2 most common agents used with propofol are ketamine and fentanyl.

**Ketamine Coadministration**

Ketamine is frequently combined with propofol for painful procedures. Two methods commonly used include fixed-dose ketamine analgesia (0.1 to 0.5 mg/kg, with a dose of 0.5 mg/kg required for infants and young children) followed by titrated propofol, or a single-syringe mixture of ketamine and propofol, most commonly used as a 1:1
mixture but also used in different ratios. Dosing of ketamine-propofol single-syringe mixtures is performed with the same per-kilogram volume dosing as single-agent propofol.

When ketamine and propofol are used concurrently (often referred to as “ketofol”), deep sedation is quickly and reliably achieved, with the advantage of providing analgesia for painful procedures that is not provided by single-agent propofol and without the additional respiratory depression that can be observed with concomitant opioid analgesia. With higher ratios of ketamine to propofol, recovery time is typically prolonged compared with that with propofol alone: a 1:1 mixture of ketamine-propofol has shown a median recovery time of 8 minutes compared with 6 minutes with propofol alone.

**Opioid Coadministration**

Fentanyl is the opioid most commonly given adjunctively with propofol for painful procedures. Although the published experience with propofol-fentanyl is less robust than for ketofol, it has been shown to be as efficacious as ketofol and superior to the combination of midazolam and fentanyl in terms of facilitating a 2-fold shorter time to discharge. When feasible, delaying propofol administration until after the anticipated peak effect of the administered opioid is recommended. This will allow the benefit of opioid analgesia while minimizing the risk for respiratory depression and apnea that has been reported with coadministration of propofol and opioids.

**Nonparenteral Adjuncts**

Effective use of local anesthesia provides an alternative to the coadministration of ketamine or opioids with propofol. This approach is particularly applicable to complex laceration repairs, in which local anesthesia through topical, infiltrative, or regional techniques can remove the painful stimulus. Single-agent propofol can then provide excellent conditions for repair, facilitating sedation and minimizing extraneous movement. This approach can also be effectively used for lumbar punctures in children.

**INTERACTIVE AND MECHANICAL MONITORING**

All patients undergoing deep sedation require continuous monitoring to assess level of consciousness and to identify early signs of respiratory depression, airway obstruction, apnea, bradycardia, or hypotension. Both mechanical monitoring and direct visualization are needed to detect changes in respiratory effort or level of sedation, including close attention to placement of equipment, such as sterile drapes, to ensure that the airway and chest wall can be observed as much as possible throughout the sedation. Mechanical monitoring for deep sedation requires continuous use of cardiac monitoring, capnography, and pulse oximetry, with respiratory rate and blood pressure recorded at a minimum of every 5 minutes. With uncooperative patients or young children, presedation placement of the monitoring equipment may be difficult or overly distressing. In such cases, providers may plan for timely placement once sedation is achieved.

Although clinical vigilance is primary in ensuring good patient outcomes, capnography is more sensitive in detecting changes in patients’ respiratory pattern, allowing the clinician to detect hypoventilation and apnea earlier than with pulse oximetry or clinical examination alone, particularly when using supplemental oxygen. The ability to detect the potential onset of adverse events earlier provides the clinician the opportunity to intervene and avert their occurrence.

**Supplemental Oxygen During Propofol Sedation**

The use of supplemental oxygen during ED procedural sedation is common. Enhanced oxygen reserves permit a longer period of normal oxygenation if a patient experiences respiratory depression or apnea. This is particularly relevant for infants and young children, who have a smaller pulmonary reserve (percentage of functional residual capacity relative to total lung volume), higher basal metabolic rate with increased oxygen consumption, and decreased ability to tolerate apnea without desaturation. Adults and adolescents who are preoxygenated with 100% FiO₂ and become apneic have a mean of 6 minutes before they experience oxygen desaturation to less than 90%. In contrast, apneic healthy children aged 2 to 12 years will desaturate to less than 90% despite preoxygenation in 3 to 4 minutes, and healthy infants will desaturate in less than 2 minutes. Among children with risk factors such as underlying pulmonary disease or concurrent respiratory infection, desaturation will occur even more rapidly.

The previous clinical practice advisory suggested that the administration of supplemental oxygen with propofol is prudent, arguing that preoxygenated patients tolerate longer apnea without requiring assisted ventilation. Since then, a randomized controlled trial of adults examined the use of supplemental oxygen for ED propofol sedation. The study reported a 10% absolute decrease in the rate of hypoxia, a difference not found to be statistically significant but likely to be clinically meaningful. Stronger evidence for the utility of supplemental oxygen with propofol exists for
the pediatric population; a systematic review documented a significant decrease in the incidence of oxygen desaturation, from 17% to 10% of patients, with the use of supplemental oxygen. Use of supplemental oxygen is therefore recommended for both adult and pediatric patients undergoing propofol sedation.

**POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS**

Sedation-related adverse events with propofol occur in less than 10% of all ED sedations and typically require only minor, brief interventions, without evidence of serious patient sequelae. Adverse effects associated most frequently with propofol sedation include respiratory depression, central or obstructive apnea, hemodynamic compromise, and injection pain.

**Respiratory Depression**

In adults, hypoxia rates with propofol range from 1% to 12%, depending on how a hypoxic event is defined. Similar variation exists for apnea and the use of bag-valve-mask-assisted ventilation, with rates ranging from 3% to 20%.

Despite the unique oxygenation considerations for pediatric patients, the frequency of hypoxia and apnea is the same or lower in children undergoing ED propofol sedation compared with adults. Hypoxia occurs in 1% to 9% of pediatric propofol sedations, with apnea reported in 1% to 6% of them.

When such events occur in either adult or pediatric patients, most resolve without incident. Significant airway interventions are rare, with the most common interventions being stimulation, airway repositioning, or the administration of supplemental oxygen. The use of bag-valve-mask ventilation is required in less than 5% of adult propofol sedations and 2% of pediatric ones. Serious adverse respiratory events are rarer still, with laryngospasm occurring in less than 1% of sedations and intubation in less than 0.1% of adult propofol sedations and less than 0.02% of pediatric ones, respectively.

The incidence of respiratory adverse events with ketofol compared with propofol is similar. Most adverse respiratory events during ketofol sedations are either self-resolving or responsive to minimal interventions such as airway positioning, stimulation, or increased supplemental oxygen.

Several studies have suggested that the combination of propofol and fentanyl has a frequency of severe hypoxia or other respiratory events similar to that of ketofol, whereas other studies have suggested higher rates of desaturation or respiratory depression with the coadministration of fentanyl and propofol compared with ketofol. As with ketofol and propofol, most respiratory adverse events either self-resolve or require only minor intervention, with bag-valve-mask ventilation required in 1% to 2% of propofol-fentanyl sedations.

**Hypotension**

Reported rates of hypotension among adult patients undergoing propofol sedation range from 4% to 17%, depending on how hypotension is defined. Similar rates have been reported for pediatric patients, ranging from 2% to 15%. All reported cases are either transient and self-resolving or respond to intravenous fluid administration, without sequelae.

Transient hypotension is expected with a propofol bolus and can be more pronounced in patients with depleted intravascular volumes or substantial underlying illness. One of the potential advantages of combining ketamine with propofol is the reduction in the risk of hypotension, and studies of sedations performed with ketofol have found a lower incidence of hypotension compared with the incidence found with single-agent propofol. However, the clinical significance of this in otherwise healthy patients is unclear, given the transient nature of propofol-associated hypotension. The clinical significance of hypotension during sedation of the critically ill is more likely to be significant, although comparative studies of ketamine, ketofol, and propofol in this regard have not yet been published.

**Pain With Injection**

Although pain with injection of propofol is commonly reported in postoperative patients, it is uncommon in ED reports. This adverse event is particularly relevant in infants and young children, in whom agitation caused by pain with injection carries the risk of loss of the intravenous catheter. This discomfort can be mitigated through use of antecubital veins in adults. When this is not possible, one of the most effective techniques is the administration of intravenous lidocaine at 0.5 mg/kg, with a rubber tourniquet in place 30 to 120 seconds immediately before propofol administration. Mixing the lidocaine dosage with the propofol bolus has also been described and may be more practical in children who will not tolerate a tourniquet. Ketofol or propofol-fentanyl, with a ketamine or fentanyl bolus administered before propofol, offers an additional option for minimizing the patients’ risk of experiencing pain with injection.
Nausea, Emesis, and Aspiration

Propofol has inherent antiemetic properties, resulting in a decreased incidence of nausea and emesis compared with other sedatives, particularly ketamine.\textsuperscript{21,34,35,56,97} Furthermore, although agents such as ketamine can cause vomiting during recovery or after discharge, this has not been reported in ED patients receiving propofol.\textsuperscript{129} The addition of propofol has been shown to decrease the frequency of emesis when coadministered with ketamine,\textsuperscript{70,72,120} with the magnitude of this antiemetic effect similar to that observed with the addition of ondansetron to ketamine sedation.\textsuperscript{130} Emesis is similarly rare with the combination of propofol and fentanyl.\textsuperscript{74,112}

Given the potential loss of airway reflexes associated with propofol, aspiration is a common concern, although there is strong evidence that it is a rare occurrence. Across multiple adult studies, incidence of aspiration with propofol-based sedation is less than 0.05%.\textsuperscript{35,56,84,105} Large studies involving greater than 50,000 pediatric patients undergoing sedation with propofol and ketofol have documented aspiration rates between 0 and 1 in 12,500 sedations, similar to those reported for general anesthesia.\textsuperscript{20,21,94,105,131} Death from aspiration during procedural sedation is exceedingly rare, occurring in less than 3% of cases with documented aspiration.\textsuperscript{131}

Propofol Infusion Syndrome

Propofol infusion syndrome refers to acute refractory bradycardia progressing to asystole in the presence of one or more of metabolic acidosis, rhabdomyolysis, hyperlipidemia, and enlarged or fatty liver. It has been associated with prolonged and higher-dose infusions than used for ED propofol sedations. Although there are published cases of propofol infusion syndrome occurring in ICUs and during anesthesia, no instances of propofol infusion syndrome have been reported in the ED setting.\textsuperscript{94,132}

RECOVERY AND DISCHARGE

Although propofol can occasionally cause idiosyncratic agitation, unpleasant recovery reactions are of little concern. Recovery agitation can occur with ketofol, although at a lesser rate than with ketamine alone. These reactions occasionally require treatment.\textsuperscript{72,73,109}

Patients sedated with propofol should be monitored until they return to their baseline mental status. Standard postdischarge criteria apply to propofol just as they do for any other sedative.\textsuperscript{3,6,35} The redistributive nature of propofol suggests that patients who regain their baseline level of consciousness after propofol administration are unlikely to have further decreases in their level of consciousness or exhibit any new adverse events. The occurrence of adverse events after discharge in ED patients sedated with propofol has not been reported. For children, it is essential to flush the intravenous line to remove any remaining propofol at the end of the sedation. The intravenous catheter and tubing can hold a few milliliters of propofol, which can amount to a significant milligram-per-kilogram bolus dose in infants and young children.
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